

## SCORES AND RATINGS GRID – FRQSC

	%	RATING	DESCRIPTION
<b>RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING</b>	90-100%	<b>Exceptional (A+)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shows strengths and qualities that exceed the standard of excellence<sup>1</sup>.</li> </ul>
	80-89.9%	<b>Excellent (A) <u>STANDARD<sup>1</sup></u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meets the standard of excellence<sup>1</sup>.</li> <li>Some improvements are nonetheless possible/conceivable.</li> </ul>
	70-79.9%	<b>Very good (B)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Partially meets the standard of excellence<sup>1</sup>.</li> <li>Contains some <b>minor to moderate</b> weaknesses or gaps requiring adjustments or improvements.</li> </ul>

**70% → Passing score for an eliminatory criterion and minimum score to be recommended for funding**

<b>NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING</b>	60-69.9%	<b>Average / Weak (C)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not meet the standard of excellence<sup>1</sup>.</li> <li>Contains significant to major weaknesses or gaps requiring substantial adjustments or improvements.</li> </ul>
	59.9% and under	<b>Inadequate / Insufficient (D)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not meet the criterion under examination or cannot be evaluated due to missing or incomplete information.</li> </ul>

**FAILURE (E)**

The funding application **does not achieve the passing score for an eliminatory criterion or the minimum score to be recommended for funding.**

<sup>1</sup> **STANDARD OF EXCELLENCE:** Presents the level of originality, relevance, precision or quality that corresponds to the best standards in the field, given the specific characteristics of the community (students, researchers, practitioners, etc.) for which the program is intended.