



QUÉBEC-FLANDERS BILATERAL RESEARCH COOPERATION PROGRAM

Guidelines for Applicants

The Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO) The Fonds de recherche de Québec - Nature et Technologies (FRQNT) The Fonds de recherche du Québec - Santé (FRQS) The Fonds de recherche du Québec - Société et Culture (FRQSC)

*** FRQNT, FRQS and FRQSC can be referred jointly as FRQ. This document describes the procedures for the present Call of the Québec-Flanders Bilateral Research Cooperation Program.

SUMMARY	CONTACTS
COMPETITION YEAR: 2023	FWO:
DEADLINE (FOR APPLICATION):	dr. Gregory Absillis
May 31, 2023 5:00 PM – Brussels Time	Science Policy Advisor International Affairs T +32 2 550 15 29
For info: 11:00 AM – Montreal Time	Gregory.Absillis@fwo.be
AMOUNT:	
Up to \$100,000/year for Québec teams	FRQ:
Up to 85,000€/year per project for Flanders	Leslie Walsh
PROJECT DURATION:	Program Officer T +1-418-643-8560, ext. 3457
3 years	lesliemarie.walsh@frq.gouv.qc.ca
RESULTS ANNOUNCEMENT:	
December 2023	

1. BACKGROUND

This fourth joint Call for proposals (hereafter referred as the "Call") is part of a rich and continuous collaboration between the Fonds de recherche du Québec (hereafter referred as the "FRQ") and the Research Foundation - Flanders, Belgium (Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek – Vlaanderen), (hereafter referred as the "FWO"). It has been implemented through the Bilateral Québec-Flanders Research Cooperation Program (hereafter referred as the "Program"), since 2013.

The FWO supports fundamental research, strategic basic research, as well as infrastructure in the Flemish community, stimulates international cooperation and promotes equal opportunities.

The FRQ supports and promotes excellence of research in Quebec, as well as the training of the next generation of researchers in order to stimulate the development of knowledge and innovation.

2. OBJECTIVES

The first objective of this program is to contribute to (1) the excellence of research in Flanders and Québec and (2) to the development of scientific cooperation by financially supporting collaborative research projects between both research communities. It also aims to foster **interdisciplinary research** (or cross-domain research) as defined by the FRQ. For further information on interdisciplinarity as it relates to this Program, please refer to the 'Eligible Proposals' and 'Evaluation' sections below. **This Call is open to all scientific domains and only funds fundamental research**.

3. ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

3.1. Eligible Proposals

To be eligible, the proposal must comply with the following points:

- Research projects should be carried out both in Québec and in Flanders, for a duration of 3 (three) years.
- Project proposals have to be submitted by May 31, 2023 (5:00 PM Brussels time).
- The proposal must involve a minimum of two research teams, one in Québec and one in Flanders. The Québec research team must include at least two researchers fulfilling conditions under Status 1 and/or 2, as defined in the FRQ's <u>Common General Rules</u>:
 - In cases where only one institution from Québec is involved, a supervisorspokesperson (who will act as principal investigator (PI)) and will be in charge of the funding), as well as at least one co-supervisor (also called co-investigator), must be listed.
 - In cases where multiple institutions from Québec are involved, researchers will have to agree which research institution will act as the main Québec research institution. For the main host institution, a supervisor-spokesperson (who will act as the PI and

will be in charge of the funding), as well as at least one co-supervisor (also called coinvestigator), must be listed. For each additional research institution at least one supervisor must be listed.

- Flemish applicants are subject to the <u>regulations on FWO research projects</u>. Québec applicants are subject to the FRQ's <u>Common General Rules</u> and FRQ policies such as the <u>FRQ</u> <u>Open Access Policy for the Dissemination of Research</u>, <u>the Policy for the Responsible</u> <u>Conduct of Research</u> and <u>FRQ Knowledge Mobilization Strategy</u> (these policies are available in French only).
- Please note that a researcher may participate in only one project submitted under this Call.

Important note: In order to promote **interdisciplinarity**, the proposal must prove a **coherent** project in which research and team members (from Québec and Flanders) must cover research domains falling under at least two of the three branches of the FRQ:

- Health (Domains covered by FRQS)
- Society and Culture (<u>Domains covered by FRQSC</u>)
- Nature & technologies (Domains covered by FRQNT)

For more information about the definition of interdisciplinarity, please refer to the 'Evaluation' section.

3.2. Eligible applicants

In Québec:

This Call is specifically open to researchers (a supervisor and at least one co-supervisor) who are affiliated with a Quebec university establishment and who meet Status 1 (university researcher) and/or Status 2 (clinical university researcher), as defined in the FRQ's <u>Common General Rules</u>.

The following cases also qualify as having the status of "university researcher":

- Individuals at the beginning of their career who meet Status 1a and hold a position that will enable them to meet Status 1b pending the end of administrative processes and delays; and
- Individuals who are retired from a recognized institution who no longer meet Status 1a and who continue to pursue research or supervision activities in the institution from which they have obtained a formal commitment.

In Flanders:

<u>Eligible host institutions:</u> Your research will be carried out under the supervision of an applicable Flemish principal (main) host institution defined in article 7§2 of the <u>regulations</u> <u>research projects fundamental research</u>. Where appropriate, the principal (main) host institutions from article 7§2 can carry out their research in collaboration with a Flemish or Federal scientific institution, but not in collaboration with a non-Flemish research institution other than those in Québec, eligible for funding at FRQ.

<u>Eligible (co-)supervisor(s)</u>: Your research project will be carried out under the direction of a supervisor per host institution, possibly in collaboration with one or more co-supervisors in line with Article 10 (except §4 till §6 - there is no division into junior and senior projects in this call) and Article 11 (except §3) of the <u>regulations research projects fundamental research</u>.

Applications submitted in the frame of this FWO-FRQ project call are not taken into account for the maximum of two projects per application round for which you may act as (co-)supervisor, nor are they taken into account for the maximum of two projects applied for and ongoing on the start date of the newly allocated project.

3.3. Eligible expenses

In Québec:

The Québec part of the project budget can only be used as described in the FRQ's <u>Common</u> <u>General Rules</u>. Please note that the Québec side of each application may receive up to \$100,000 CAD per year for three years. Indirect costs of research (ICR) will also be paid to managing institutions. The ICR will equal 27% of the grant awarded and will be in addition to the grant amount.

In Flanders:

The Flemish part of the project budget can only be used for one or more of the following cost categories:

- Scientific staff and consumables;
- Equipment;
- Travel and accommodation costs of Flemish researchers going to Quebec;
- To cover production costs of joint publications.

On the Flemish side the following budget regulations apply in the frame of this bilateral collaboration with Québec:

- The total project budget for staff and consumables may not exceed 85,.000 EUR/year;
- The budget for staff and consumables of the Flemish main host institution must be minimally 45.000 EUR/year;
- Per project a maximum amount of 150.000 EUR for equipment can be applied for. This can take the form of matching funding;
- No overhead costs must be charged on the Flemish budgets.

During their travel and stay abroad, all Flemish researchers involved in FWO-FRQ projects and independent of nationality, can rely on a travel and assistance insurance ('reis-en bijstandsverzekering') and repatriation covered by FWO. This insurance is not a health insurance. Regardless of the aforementioned insurance, all researchers should have a valid health insurance entitling the holder to free emergency medical treatment in the host country. The responsibility for the provision of adequate insurance against accidents that may occur during the stay in the host country ('arbeidsongevallenverzekering') rests with the research institution to which the researcher stays affiliated during the joint exchange project.

4. SUBMISSION OF APPLICATION

4.1. The form

The proposal is to be designed jointly by Québec and Flemish research team(s). One joint application form has to be completed and submitted by the Flemish PI through the FWO online platform '<u>E-loket</u>'. The Flemish PI has to consult his/her Québec partner to jointly complete the application to make sure that both FWO and FRQ provisional funding related activities are included.

No application received after the deadline (May 31, 2023 at 5:00 PM Brussels Time) will be accepted. Only forms filled out and submitted electronically are accepted.

Note: No submission is necessary in Québec. The information in the application form can be shared with the other teams by extracting a PDF file from the application. This means that all information regarding the Québec research team and the budget requested (in CAD) by this team needs to be included in the online application form submitted at FWO.

4.2. Required documents

- Online project application form: General Info¹, Host Institutions requested Funding, Project, Peer Review, Ethics, Data Management Plan, and Consent.
- All (co-)supervisors, both from Flanders and Québec, must have an online FWO <u>E-loket</u> profile including an updated list of publications before the submission deadline. Please note that the registration of your <u>E-loket</u> profile (login/password) takes 24 hours. It is therefore strongly advised to start well in advance.
- Each Flemish team member must upload a short CV according to the template provided in the online application form.
- Each Québec team member, including the PI, must also upload the following to the online application form:
 - A complete <u>Canadian Common CV</u>, including detailed contributions in the format of one of the three branches of the FRQ, and
 - A signed declaration by the applicant (this form may be found on the program specific page of the FRQ website under "Toolbox").

5. EVALUATION

5.1. Evaluation procedure

The process will be divided in three steps:

- a. Administrative eligibility check, by both agencies, based on the regulations of each agency.
- b. *International Independent Peer Review*: All applications will be peer-reviewed by international experts based on the evaluation of three criteria: Researchers, Project, Collaboration (see Annex 1). Applicants are allowed to list up to three referees to be excluded from the international peer review process. The International Independent Peer Review will serve as input/recommendations for the panel review.
- c. Evaluation by cross-domain *Joint Selection Committee*, composed of Flemish and Québec researchers who will evaluate all eligible applications based on four criteria: Researchers, Project, Collaboration, Interdisciplinarity (see Annex 1). This Committee, will compare the applications and establish a ranking separating them in three categories:
 - i. Proposal with the highest score, to be funded with the highest priority to the extent that the budget allows it
 - ii. Proposals with a score above the scientific excellence cut-off point, to be funded if the budget allows it (*reserve list*)

 $^{^1 {\}rm Including}$ French title and abstract. These may be used by the FRQ and the FWO for promotional and dissemination purposes.

iii. Proposals with a score below the scientific excellence cut-off point, not to be funded

The *Joint Selection Committee* is sovereign, its decisions remain final and will be ratified by FWO and FRQ boards.

5.2. Evaluation criteria Joint Selection Committee

- 1. RESEARCHERS (25%)
 - a. Competence of the individual researchers
- 2. PROJECT (40%)
 - a. Scientific added value, rationale and originality
 - b. Quality of the research approach (methodology), feasibility and focus
 - c. Work plan
- 3. COLLABORATION (15%)
 - a. Added value of the proposed collaboration
 - b. Balance in terms of work contribution amongst the diverse research groups
- 4. DEGREE OF INTERDISCIPLINARITY (20%): Domains falling under at least two of the three branches of the FRQ (<u>FRQS Health</u> // <u>FRQSC Society and Culture</u> // <u>FRQNT Nature and Technologies</u>) must be represented in an integrated way through (1) the composition of the bilateral research team, (2) the joint design and development of the project, of issues mobilizing questions or objects of research of the different domains and (3) the innovative methodology adapted to each issue and integrating approaches.

Projects receiving a score of 0 on 'Degree of Interdisciplinarity' will be excluded from funding.

5.3. Integrity of the evaluation process

The FRQ and FWO Board of Director members are not involved in the scientific evaluation of funding applications, and at no time may applicants and their institutional authorities make contact with the evaluation committee chairperson or members. The agencies have the right to withdraw an application from competition if there is any attempt to lobby the committee or sway the evaluation process.

Every person recruited to sit on an evaluation committee must comply with standard rules of confidentiality regarding the application packages, committee makeup, deliberations and recommendations. The evaluation committee chairperson, members and outside experts are required to disclose any conflicts of interest and must sign a written statement in this regard. For Québec reviewers, refer to the FRQ's <u>Common General Rules</u>.

6. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES

The applicants shall address the intellectual property rights resulting from research conducted under the Bilateral Research Cooperation Program, on a case-by-case basis, by taking into account the intellectual contribution of each participant to the research. For Québec applicants, the management of their intellectual property rights shall be conducted in accordance with FRQ's <u>Common General Rules</u> and in accordance with the affiliated

institutions' internal policy on intellectual property. For Flemish applicants, the management of their intellectual property rights shall be conducted in accordance with <u>Article 2 of the General Regulations</u>.

Based on the FWO regulations, Flemish researchers involved in funded FWO-FRQ projects will be obliged to enter into a partnership agreement with their Québec partners to ensure, amongst other items, the effective protection and correct distribution of the intellectual properties resulting from the projects funded under this Call. Please note that no specific format for this agreement will be provided by FWO. The involved host institutions are free to elaborate such a document as long as it contains the necessary basic information.

7. COMMITMENTS

7.1. Financial commitment

Both the FRQ and FWO commit to contribute the equivalent of \$400 000 CAD (FRQ) and 340 000 \in (FWO) per year for this Call. The FRQ and FWO strive to fund around four projects in this current call. FWO will only fund the Flemish part of the project. FRQ will only fund the Québec part of the project.

The FRQ receives annual credits from the Québec government to fund its grant programs. It then undertakes annual commitments, conditional on the availability of funds.

7.2. Applicants' commitment

In accepting the grant, applicants agree to comply with all the terms and conditions stipulated in the Call and the general regulations of the funding agencies that support them. It is the responsibility of the candidate to be aware of these terms and conditions.

Besides the clauses specific to their funding organization, in accepting the grant, the team will:

- Submit a mid-term and final research report to FRQ and FWO. FRQ and FWO will contact their respective awardees to inform them on the form it has to take.
- Mention the funding organization supporting the project (FRQ and FWO) in any report, article or other communications related to the project.

8. TIMELINE

March 9, 2023	Launch of the Call
May 31, 2023 (5:00 PM Brussels time)	Deadline for proposals
June 2023	Eligibility check
June – September 2023	International Independent Peer Review
November 2023	Joint Selection Committee
December 2023	Results Announcement
January 1, 2024	Start of the projects

Annex 1: Québec-Flanders Bilateral Research Cooperation Program – Evaluation Criteria and Score Grid:

- Criteria to be evaluated by International Independent Peer Review: Researchers, Project, Collaboration;
- Criteria to be evaluated by Joint Selection Committee: Researchers, Project, Collaboration, Interdisciplinarity.

Annex 1:

Québec-Flanders Bilateral Research Cooperation Program - Evaluation Criteria and Score Grid

C <50% 1 Not competitive e individual researchers, in d project. Assess to what heir respective domain, as prd. as well as other mean	ncluded in the bil		B+ >30% 4 Good/V	A- >20% 5	A ≥10% 6	A+ >5%		
1 Not competitive e individual researchers, in d project. Assess to what eir respective domain, as	2 Fair/Rea ncluded in the bil	3 asonable	4	5		>5% 7		
e individual researchers, in d project. Assess to what eir respective domain, as	Fair/Rea		-		6	7		
e individual researchers, in d project. Assess to what eir respective domain, as	ncluded in the bil		Good/V	-				
d project. Assess to what eir respective domain, as				Fair/Reasonable Good/Very good		Excellent/Outstanding		
sponsibilities (governance entific awards and other r onal recognition of the inc the included individual ers do not fully possess the r competences, expertise, for experience to carry out of the research in a proper r through close collaboration i included researchers, will age to carry out the actual This is reflected in the track d main research ents of some of the included researchers which, taking unt their scientific seniority iety of	e evidenced by a r ningful scientific o e, administration, relevant informat cluded individual The competence, exp experience of some o researchers in the co concerns on a succes proposed research H the research consorti necessary competence proposed research. T the track record and achievements of the researchers which, ta their scientific senior activities/achievement	dual researchers, range of scientification putput and achiev ,), membership cion. Consider a var researchers in the pertise, skills and/or of the individual insortium leads to isful execution of the owever, as a whole, ium possesses the ces to carry out the chis is reflected in main research included individual aking into account city and a variety of nts, is sufficient to	taking into account ally relevant activitie ements. The latter of of scientific organis ariety of scientific on eir scientific domain All the included individe competent and indepen (very) good skills and kr proposed research. Tak scientific seniority and activities/achievements main research achiever individual researchers i they will bring the prop end. Some of the included ir less internationally reco contributions made wit domain, but this is bein	their scientific senio es and achievements may include educatic ations, societies, net other (societal, econ n is necessary to rece ual researchers are nowledge to execute the ing into account the a variety of s, the track record and nents of all included s (very) good. Together osed research to a good adividual researchers are ognized for the hin their scientific g compensated by the	rity, have made impo , such as the quality a on activities, supervisi works, R&D services nomic,) impact bey rive outstanding score All the included individua competent and independ excellent skills and extend excellent skills and extend svariety of activities/achie record and main research members of the consortion The excellent competence skills and/or experience g successful execution of th Key partners of the consor recognised amongst the k domain (or 'rising stars' in	rtant scientific nd impact (rather on of pre- and provided to third ond publications es for this criterion. I researchers are ent researchers with ded knowledge to oject. cientific seniority and a vements, the track a achievements of all key um is impressive. e and available expertise ives confidence in a he proposed research. ortium are internationally best of their scientific in their domain, taking		
here / o / o / o / t i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	e included individual s do not fully possess the competences, expertise, r experience to carry out f the research in a proper hrough close collaboration ncluded researchers, will ge to carry out the actual his is reflected in the track main research nts of some of the included esearchers which, taking at their scientific seniority	e included individual s do not fully possess the competences, expertise, r experience to carry out f the research in a proper hrough close collaboration ncluded researchers, will ge to carry out the actual his is reflected in the track main research nts of some of the included esearchers which, taking it their scientific seniority ty of chievements, is poor and as bly insufficient to adequately	e included individual s do not fully possess the competences, expertise, r experience to carry out f the research in a proper hrough close collaboration ncluded researchers, will ge to carry out the actual his is reflected in the track main research nts of some of the included esearchers which, taking it their scientific seniority ty of chievements, is poor and as bly insufficient to adequately	e included individual s do not fully possess the competences, expertise, r experience to carry out f the research in a proper hrough close collaboration ncluded researchers, will ge to carry out the actual his is reflected in the track main research main research nts of some of the included esearchers which, taking it their scientific seniority ty of chievements, is poor and as The competence, expertise, skills and/or experience of some of the individual researchers in the consortium leads to concerns on a successful execution of the proposed research However, as a whole, the research consortium possesses the necessary competences to carry out the proposed research. This is reflected in the track record and main research achievements, is sufficient to carry out the proposed research. the researchers which, taking in their scientific seniority and a variety of activities/achievements, is sufficient to carry out the proposed research.	e included individual s do not fully possess the competences, expertise, r experience to carry out f the research in a proper hrough close collaboration ncluded researchers, will ge to carry out the actual his is reflected in the track main research main researc	e included individual s do not fully possess the competences, expertise, r experience to carry out f the researchers with frough close collaboration ncluded researchers, will ge to carry out the actual his is reflected in the track main research main research main research frough close of the included inter scientific seniority and a variety of achievements of the included individual researchers which, taking int their scientific seniority and a variety of activities/achievements, is sufficient to ty of chievements, is poor and as		

D	С	B-	В	B+	A-	Α	A+	
	<50%	>50%	>40%	>30%	>20%	>10%	>5%	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Unacceptable	Not competitive	Fair/Reasonable		Good/Very good		Excellent/Outstanding		
2.a. Scientific added value, ratio		ted research go	als of a bilateral			o the current internatio	nal state-of-the-ar	
To what extent is the proposal orig		-						
project contain a strong rationale a	-				•	•••	ie bliateral researc	
The targeted research results are not	The targeted research results are not	The project is mode		The targeted researc		The project is timely, unique	and axtromaly origin	
priginal at all, do not build upon the	very original and their innovative	and/or the targeted	, .	innovative and origin	0 //	It distinguishes itself in an o		
nternational state-of-art and will not offer	character is limited. The planned	are primarily increm			e clearly to the current	ongoing research efforts at	•	
an added value to the state-of-the-art in its	research activities will not result in	contribution to the		international state-o		is a pioneering project base		
domain.	much added value for the domain, but	the-art. The rationa		scientific domain. Th		and challenging ideas, conc		
AND/OR	are rather a catching up with respect to	are present, howev		and hypothesis clear	•	strategies.	epts and rescaren	
Have even been studied before (duplicate	the international state-of-art.	motivated.				off at egicon		
of previous studies).	AND/OR							
AND/OR	The rationale and hypothesis are rather							
The rationale and hypothesis are lacking.	weak.							
2.b. Quality of the research app	roach (methodoloav), feasibil	ity and focus.	A bilateral resear	ch proposal must	he scientifically ch	allenging and nonethele	ess feasible. To wh	
extent is the outlined scientific appr								
						1	•	
he research methodology is not	The research approach shows serious					The proposed methodology is the most relevant, efficient and effective to reach the scientific goals		
appropriate/not suited to reach the	weaknesses and/or shortcomings. AND/OR	contains some sho	,		c objectives. There are	and is considered as interna		
cientific goals, is overall vaguely	The research approach lacks key	methodology is sta	•	no significant gaps ar		methodology in its domain.		
described/lacking or irrevocably outdated	elements and should be improved	AND/OR		and methodologically	-	highly innovative, well elabor		
compared to the state-of-the-art.	substantially to meet the state-of-art.	The project is feasi	ble, but could've	innovative.	Junicientiy	clear.	brated and perfectly	
AND/OR	AND/OR	been more focusse	-	AND		AND		
The project is not feasible and/or not	The feasibility and/or focus of the	It is, however, likely			scientific challenges	Excellent focus, optimal bal	ance between high-lev	
focussed at all, because e.g. it involves too	scientific project objectives are	goals will be delive		and feasibility of the	scientific project	scientific challenges and int	-	
many planned activities (too broad).	doubtful.	AND/OR		objectives is (very) go	od. The work plan	scientific project objectives.	The work plan fits	
AND/OR The project is not ambitious at all and does	AND/OR	Despite some ambi	tious aspects, the	proposes an efficient	use of the budget and	perfectly the foreseen time	frame and requested	
not require the requested budget or could	Overall the project is not ambitious	project could have	been somewhat	the foreseen time fra	me. Risk mitigation	budget. In addition, the pro	posal clearly identifies	
be finished in much less than the foreseen	enough given the requested budget	more challenging g	iven the requested	strategy is present.		potential risks and proposes	s carefully designed	
time.	and/or foreseen timeframe.	budget and/or fore	seen timeframe.			alternative research strategies and 'fall back'		
				l		options.		
2.c. Work plan. A bilateral researc	h project must have a clearly defi	ned work plan o	utlining the diffe	rent work package	es with their goals,	research activities, timi	ng and deliverable	
A clear description of the roles of	each included researcher is cruci	al to understan	d how a bilateral	research project	will be executed a	nd managed. The prese	ence of well-defin	
coordination tasks and a good con	nmunication strategy in the work	plan can lead to	outstanding scor	es in this criterion				
The project proposal does not include any			<u> </u>			The work plan is sound and	meticulously defined	
					ear and concretely	including the different roles		
llows the assessment of this criterion. It is	information is missing and significant		each research group	defined, including the		each research group to the		
ot clear at all by whom, when and how	structural adjustments are necessary.	to the different wo	rk packages.	responsibilities of ea	ch research group to	The goals and research activ	vities of each work	
ach proposed research activity will be		However, in particu	•	the different work pa	ickages.	package are described in de	,	
erformed.		work plan and/or ta				work plan includes well-def		
		amongst the conso				management tasks and a go		
		remains vague or c	onfusing and could			strategy which contributes	optimal to the smooth	
		be significantly imp				execution of each work pac		

D	С	B-	В	B+	A-	Α	A+
	<50%	>50%	>40%	>30%	>20%	>10%	>5%
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Unacceptable	Not competitive	Fair/Rea	sonable	Good/Ve	ry Good	Excellent/Outstanding	
3.a. Added value of the prope	osed collaboration						
	the collaboration has an added va	lue for the bilate	eral research pr	oposal. To what exte	nt is the collabora	tion, containing the i	right combination
	and crucial to execute the propos		-	· ·		-	-
	nposed and artificial? The complete						-
The collaboration is artificial: There is no added value in the proposed bilateral collaboration. The proposed research can be performed alone or with local partners equally well. AND/OR The synergy in expertises and the rationale for collaboration is completely lacking. AND/OR The bilateral research team is not adequately composed and as a result will not reach the targeted research	The added value of the proposed bilateral collaboration is limited and its rationale is (rather) lacking. It is not clear how the included expertises are relevant and needed for the project. AND/OR Not every necessary expertise is either present or some expertise is doubled. It is unlikely that the consortium as a whole will be able to remedy the lack of expertise.	acceptable, but no The complementa ,however, not stro between the rese expertises could've and/or needs a bette AND/OR The composition is expertise is la deficiencies may be	t fully convincing. arity is present ong. The synergy arch groups end e been stronger er argumentation. s not optimal as cking, however, e compensated by um members. The her in the execution	The added value of the biclearly present and contribute execution of the projugood rationale for setting The synergy between the groups/expertises is (very AND/OR All the necessary expertise execute the proposed rescomposition of and task a bilateral research team is (very) well motivated.	ibutes (very) well to ect. There is a (very) up this collaboration. research r) strong. e and equipment to earch is present. The illocation amongst the	The synergy between the pronounced and the com the successful execution activities. The reasons for research groups/expertis valid. The proposed colla achieve the proposed res bilateral research team is team of excellent interna researchers (taking into a seniority), in which each role to play in the execut research activities. All the required expertise	plementarity is key for of the proposed resear r including these special es are perfectly clear a boration is crucial to earch goals. The a perfectly balanced tionally recognized account the scientific one of them has a vita ion of the proposed

8.000 000000000000000000000000000000000				
There is no balance in work distribution	No possibility for scoring	There is a reasonable balance in terms	No possibility for scoring	Each research group contributes in the most
amongst the included research groups.		of work distribution amongst the		optimal way to the proposed research activities.
There is imbalance between the		included research groups, taking into		There are no imbalances in terms of work
requested budget and workload for		account the requested budget and the		contribution, taking into account the requested
some of the groups.		expertise within the different groups.		budget of each included research group.

D	С	B- B		B+	A -	А	A+	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Unacceptable	Not competitive	Fair/Reasonable		Good/Very good		Excellent/Outstanding		
Domains falling under at least ty	wo of the three branches of the	FRQ (FRQS – Hea	alth // FRQSC – Sc	ciety and Culture // I	FRQNT – Nature a	ind Technologies) mu	ust be represented in ar	
integrated way through (1) the c	composition of the bilateral rese	arch team, (2) the	e joint design and	development of the p	project, of issues r	nobilizing questions of	or objects of research of	
the different domains and (3) the	e innovative methodology adapt	ed to each issue a	nd integrating app	proaches.				
The project is not interdisciplinary or	The project is multidisciplinary	The proposed researc	ch includes domains	The proposed research in	cludes domains	The proposed research includes domains falling under		
multidisciplinary at all. The proposed	instead of interdisciplinary in	falling under <u>two of the three</u> branches		falling under two of the three branches of		all three branches of the FRQ. Mutual interactive		
research is focused within one branch	nature. Although the research covers	of the FRQ. Although mutual interactive		the FRQ. Mutual interactive input is		input is necessary from specialists from domains		
of the FRQ and all team members fall	domains falling under at least two of	input is necessary from specialists from		necessary from specialists from domains		covered by all three FRQ branches to (1) address the		
under the same FRQ branch.	the three branches of the FRQ, the	domains covered by t	two out of three FRQ	covered by two out of thr	ee FRQ branches to	proposed research quest	ion(s) in an integrated way	
	expertise, methods, tools, data, etc.	branches to address t	anches to address the proposed (1) address the proposed research question(s) a		and (2) to advance funda	mental understanding		
	of one domain are merely used as an	research question(s),	the level of	in an integrated way and (2) to advance		beyond a single domain.		
	'instrument' for the other domain.	integration is not that	t	fundamental understanding beyond a single		AND		
	The various domains do not benefit	extensive/profound.		domain.		All of the domains involved will benefit from and		
	or mutually influence each other.	AND/OR	AND/OR AND			mutually influence each other in the most optimal		
	Instead, they are juxtaposed. Not all	Not all of the domain	is involved will	All of the domains involved will benefit from		way. As a result, the outcomes will have an impact on		
	of the domains involved will be	influence each other	to the same extent	and mutually influence each other in the most		all of the domains involved and will have substantial		
	impacted by the outcomes of the	and therefore will no	t have equal	optimal way. As a result, the outcomes will		added value for each of the domains. New bridges		
	project.	benefits from the pro	oject.	have an impact on all of t	he domains involved	between previously unre	lated fields will be triggered.	
				and will have substantial	added value for each			
				of the domains. New brid	ges between			
				previously unrelated field	ls will be triggered.			

Projects receiving a score of 0 on 'Degree of Interdisciplinarity' will be excluded from funding.